

From: [Wyatt, Robert](#)
To: [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [jworonets@anchorgea.com](#); [jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com](#)
Cc: [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: Re: Response to LWG August 23 Letter
Date: 08/25/2011 03:13 PM

Thanks very much Chip.

Bob

----- Original Message -----

From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 03:10 PM
To: Jennifer Woronets <jworonets@anchorgea.com>; Jim McKenna (jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com) <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>; Wyatt, Robert <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>
Cc: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov <Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Response to LWG August 23 Letter

Bob & Jim

Attached is a revised EPA response to the LWG's August 23rd letter. We corrected an incorrect date in the second paragraph of the letter (which should read .."and the RALS specified in our August 11, 2011 letter" rather than August 23, 2011).

Also, based on our conversation today, it is EPA's understanding that the LWG's acceptance of EPA's direction is contingent on EPA's consideration of a schedule extension to perform this work. As our letter indicates, EPA acknowledges that additional time will be needed to perform this work and that the LWG plans to submit a specific request for an extension of the project schedule. EPA has not established a deadline for submittal of the extension request, which is not subject to the August 25, 2011 dispute deadline, and will fully consider the request and justification when it is provided.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

thanks
Chip

(See attached file: LWG Ltr 08252011.pdf)